Preparation of Pyrrolidine-Based PDE4 Inhibitors via Enantioselective Conjugate Addition of α -Substituted Malonates to Aromatic Nitroalkenes

Paul J. Nichols,^{*} John A. DeMattei, Bradley R. Barnett, Nicole A. LeFur, Tsung-Hsun Chuang, Anthony D. Piscopio,[†] and Kevin Koch

Department of Process Chemistry Research, Array BioPharma, 3200 Walnut Street, Boulder, Colorado 80301

pnichols@arraybiopharma.com

Received February 15, 2006

ABSTRACT



The enantioselective conjugate addition of α -substituted malonates to aromatic nitroalkenes generates a stereocenter at the carbon bearing the aromatic group and an adjacent prochiral center from the α -substituted malonate. Nitro reduction followed by diastereoselective cyclization provides pyrrolidinones with two contiguous stereocenters, one of which is quaternary. This sequence was used for the preparation of the PDE4 inhibitor IC86518. Additional examples of the enantioselective Michael addition illustrate the scope of the reaction.

In recent years, phosphodiesterase type 4 (PDE4), a cyclic adensosine monophosphate (cAMP)-specific enzyme, has become an important medicinal target.¹ Inhibition of PDE4 has been shown to lower the inflammatory response in a variety of pro-inflammatory cells.² Unfortunately, early clinical candidates suffered from undesired side effects, including nausea and emesis.¹ Over the course of a medicinal chemistry program targeting PDE4, a number of highly potent, nonemetic, selective PDE4 inhibitors were devel-

oped.³ The pyrrolidine IC86518 (Figure 1) is a potent inhibitor of PDE4 (IC₅₀ = 14 nm), and only the stereoisomer shown significantly reduced undesired emetic side effects in animal models. IC86518 was one of a number of *N*-substituted pyrrolidines that were prepared from the common intermediate **1** (Figure 1).





2006 Vol. 8, No. 7 1495–1498

[†] Present Address: Chemizon, Inc., P.O. Box 1437, Longmont, CO 80502.

⁽¹⁾ For a review of PDE4 inhibitors and related patents, see: Housley, M. D.; Schafer, P.; Zhang, K. Y. J. *Drug Discovery Today* **2005**, *10*, 1503– 1519. (b) Odingo, J. *Expert Opin. Ther. Pat.* **2005**, *15*, 773–787. (c) Smith, V. B.; Spina, D. *Curr. Opin. Investig. Drugs* **2005**, *6*, 1136–1141. (d) Norman, P *Expert Opin. Ther. Pat.* **2002**, *12*, 93–111. (e) Martin, T. J. *IDrugs* **2001**, *4*, 312–338.

^{(2) (}a) Burnouf, C.; Pruniaux, M.-P. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2002, 8, 1255–1296.
(b) Manganiello, V. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2002, 136, 339–340.
(c) Torphy, T. J.; Compton, C. H.; Marks, M. J.; Sturton, G Prog. Respir. Res. 2001, 31, 321–325.
(d) Donnelly, L. E.; Rogers, D. F. Drugs 2003, 63, 1973–1998.

Compound 1 is a challenging synthetic target containing three contiguous stereocenters, one of which is a quaternary carbon bearing four carbon-based substituents. The preparation of quaternary carbon stereocenters continues to be a significant challenge for synthetic chemists and is an active area of research.⁴ Initially, a 3 + 2 cycloaddition approach was used to access compound 1 (Scheme 1). While the



preparation of the quaternary center was stereoselective, the generation of the requisite secondary alcohol, either via the addition of MeMgI to the intermediate aldehyde, or by reduction of the correseponding methyl ketone, was not. Faced with multiple selectivity issues and the potential requirement of chromatography on scale, an alternative synthetic strategy based on the preparation of lactam **6** was investigated.

The asymmetric conjugate addition⁵ of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds to nitroalkenes has been reported using both

metal-⁶ and organocatalysis.⁷ In the work of Ji and coworkers,^{6a} the known bis(oxazoline) ligand **3**⁸ was used in the presence of Mg(OTf)₂ and *N*-methylmorpholine (NMM) to provide enantiomerically enriched addition products in high yields. In this report and in the process patent that followed, there was no discussion of the application of this method to α -substituted malonates.⁹ Subsequently, a full paper describing this method reported four examples of α -substituted malonates, three of which gave low enantioselectivities, including the methyl-substituted malonate required for the synthesis of 1 and its conversion to IC86518 via the Michael addition of α -substituted malonates to aromatic nitroalkenes in high yields and enantiomeric excess.

The current synthesis of the common intermediate **1** is illustrated in Scheme 2. The enantioselective Michael addi-



tion between nitrostyrene 2 and dimethyl methylmalonate gave crude compound 4 in 86% ee. Recrystallization provided pure material in 87% yield with 87% ee on a 4 kg

^{(3) (}a) Odingo, J. O.; Oliver, A. R.; Kesicki, E. A.; Burgess, L. E.; Gaudino, J. J.; Jones, Z. S.; Newhouse, B. J.; Schlachter, S. T.; Wang, S.; Hertel, C.; Stephan, T. E.; Martins, T. J.; Fowler, K. W. *Abstracts of Papers*, 226th American Chemical Society National Meeting, New York, 2003; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 2003. (b) Martins, T. J.; Fowler, K. W.; Odingo, J.; Kesicki, E. A.; Oliver, A.; Burgess, L. E.; Gaudino, J. J.; Jones, Z. S.; Newhouse, B. J.; Schlachter, S. T. U.S. Patent US 6,458, 87, 2002; U.S. Patent US 6,423,710, 2002; U.S. Patent US 6,258,833, 2001.

 ⁽⁴⁾ For reviews in this area, see: (a) Corey, E. J.; Guzman-Perez, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1998, 37, 389–401. (b) Fuji, K. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2037–2066. (c) Martin, S. F. Tetrahedron 1980, 36, 419–460.

^{(5) (}a) For a review on asymmetric Michael additions. see: Berner, O. M.; Tedeschi, L.; Enders, D. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 1877–1894. (b) For a review of catalytic enantioselective Michael additions, see: Krause, N.; Hoffmann-Röder, A. *Synthesis* **2001**, *2*, 171–196.

^{(6) (}a) Ji, J.; Barnes, D. M.; Zhang, J.; King, S. A.; Wittenburger, S. J.;
Morton, H. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10215–10216. (b) Evans, D.
A.; Seidel, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9958–9959.
(7) (a) Okino, T.; Hoashi, Y.; Takemoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003,

^{(7) (}a) Okino, T.; Hoashi, Y.; Takemoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12672–12673. (b) Okino T.; Hoashi, Y.; Furukawa, T.; Xu, X.; Takemoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 119–125.

⁽⁸⁾ Davies, I. W.; Gerena, L.; Castonguay, L.; Seneneyake, C. H.; Larsen, R. D.; Verhoeven, T. R.; Reider, P. J. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 1753–1754.

⁽⁹⁾ Ji, J.; Barnes, D. M.; King, S. A.: Plagge, F. A.; Wittenberger, S. J.; Zhang, J. PCT Int. Appl. WO 00 15,599, 2000.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Barnes, M. A.; Ji, J.; Fickes, M. G.; Fitzgerald, M. A.; King, S. A.; Morton, H. E.; Plagge, F. A.; Preskill, M.; Wagaw, S. H.; Wittenberger, S. J.; Zhang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, *124*, 13097–13105.

scale. A zinc-mediated nitro reduction of compound 4 followed by addition of a NaHCO3 solution at 0 °C promoted cyclization and gave pyrrolidinone 5 with a 4:1 preference for the desired diastereomer. Fortuitously, recrystallization of the mixture from tert-butyl methyl ether provided the desired diastereomer in 44% yield and 91% ee. While the low yield can be attributed to the modest selectivity of the cyclization and difficulties in isolation, subsequent research showed that cyclization on the corresponding diethyl methylmalonate substrate provided diastereoselectivities greater than 10:1.¹¹ Conversion of methyl ester **5** to methyl ketone **6** was accomplished via a two-step procedure in which the enolate of tert-butyl acetate was condensed with the methyl ester followed by acid-catalyzed decarboxylation. Following an extractive workup and a solvent switch from toluene to THF, ketone 6 was selectively reduced (10:1 dr) with lithium tritert-butoxyaluminum hydride at -40 °C. The sense of asymmetric induction observed for the reduction is consistent with chelation control. Upon completion of the ketone reduction, lithium aluminum hydride (LAH) was added in situ and the mixture was heated to 60 °C for 15 h to provide common intermediate 1. Subsequent recrystallization from isopropyl acetate gave a single diastereomer in 64% yield from 6^{12} Over 1 kg of compound 1 was prepared by this route. The relative and absolute stereochemistry of 1 was confirmed by NMR and X-ray crystallography.

Intermediate **1** was readily converted to IC86518 in three steps (Scheme 3). Carbamate formation with methyl chloro-



formate followed by benzyl deprotection (H₂, Pd/C) gave the crude phenol **7**. Alkylation with cyclopentyl bromide provided IC86518 in 74% overall yield for the three steps. Due to the high efficiency and selectivity observed in the synthesis of compound **1**, we began to examine the scope of the α -substituted malonate addition. In the disclosure that reports the use of α -substituted malonates, morpholine was used as the base with a 5 mol % catalyst loading. In this report, the authors claim the reaction was sluggish and gave low ee's in all but one case.¹⁰ In contrast, we found this reaction to be selective over a variety of α -substituted malonates (Table 1). For entries 1–4 (Table 1) (substrates



$R_1 \xrightarrow{O} O R_1$ $R_2 \xrightarrow{-}$			3 (1.1 moi %) Mg(OTf) ₂ , (1 mol %)		$R_1 = 0$ Ph $R_2 = 0$	
PhNO ₂			NMM (1.3 mol %) CHCl ₃ , H ₂ O, rt molecular sieves		L NO2 ^R 1 8	
entry	\mathbf{R}_{1}	$ m R_2$	time (h)	adduct	yield (%)	ee (%)
1	OEt	Me	16	8a	99	93
2	OEt	NHBoc	19	8b	90	65
3	OMe	OMe	24	8c	88	90
4	OEt	NHAc	17	8d	75	44
5	OEt	Allyl	45	8e	72	82
6	OEt	Cl	18	8f	84	99
7	OEt	\mathbf{F}	64	8g	85	94
8	OEt	Ph	48	8h	NR	NR

previously reported), we observed higher yields and selectivities in all cases. In particular, the 93% ee shown in entry 1 is substantially higher than the 46% ee previously disclosed for this substrate.¹⁰ Our results suggest that this reaction can tolerate a number of different substituents on the malonate including amines, ethers, halogens, and allyl groups (Table 1). While the reaction seems to be limited to aromatic nitroalkenes, functionally substituted aromatic groups are well tolerated (Table 2). Aromatic nitroalkenes containing ortho substitution were extremely selective in the cases



uble 21 Beope of the Matonate Michael Mathematic										
		3 (1.1 mol %) Mg(OTf) ₂ , (1 mol %) NMM (1.3 mol %) CHCl ₃ , H ₂ O, rt molecular sieves		$ \begin{array}{c} EtO & O \\ R_1 & O \\ NO_2 & OEt \\ 9 \end{array} $						
						entry	R_1	time (h)	adduct	yield (%)
1	2-furyl	18	9a	92	92					
2	2-thiophenyl	21	9b	99	92					
3	4-BrPh	21	9c	96	94					
4	$2-NO_2Ph$	19	9d	92	99					
5	4-OCF ₃ Ph	20	9e	66	93					
6	$3-CF_3Ph$	45	9f	86	90					
7	2-CF₃Ph	67	9g	77	98					

⁽¹¹⁾ Investigations regarding the scope and limitations of the reduction/ diastereotopic group selective cyclization step are ongoing and will be disclosed in due course.

⁽¹²⁾ The final ee of compound 1 was found to be >99% following chiral derivatization and comparison to authentic, racemic samples which were derivatized and separated independently.

examined (entries 4 and 7, Table 2). We have found that toluene may be used as solvent in these reactions without loss of ee. For the reaction shown in Table 1, entry 1, substitution of toluene for chloroform gave 96% ee in 74% yield. Increasing the catalyst loading to 5 mol % was observed to decrease reaction time from 16 to 3 h for the substrate shown in Table 1, entry $1.^{13}$

In conclusion, we have demonstrated an enantioselective Michael addition of α -substituted malonates to nitrostyrenes. Addition products can be reduced and then cyclized selectively to give substituted pyrrolidinones containing contiguous stereocenters, one of which is quaternary. Yields and

selectivities range from moderate to excellent. The method has been demonstrated to be applicable to a variety of substituted malonates and has been executed on kilogram scale in the synthesis of the PDE4 inhibitor IC86518.

Acknowledgment. We thank John Gaudino and Stephen Schlachter for helpful discussions, Lingyang Zhu for NMR elucidations, Dawn Cherico, Rachael Jacob, and Andrew Allen for chiral chromatography, and the ICOS Corp. for funding a portion of this research and assisting with this publication.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and compound characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL060398P

⁽¹³⁾ In our hands, using the conditions reported by the Barnes, Ji, and co-workers¹⁰ for entry 1 of Table 1 provided **8a** in 39% yield and 90% ee in 6 h, which was in contrast to the published report (71% yield, 46% ee, 44 h). We found that using morpholine as a base gave significantly lower yields over catalyst loadings ranging from 1 to 5% as compared with NMM.